September 29, 2025

Luke Murren, Deputy Administrator Office of Policy Development and Research Employment and Training Administration United States Department of Labor 200 Constitution Avenue NW, Room N-5641 Washington, DC 20210

Re: (RIN) 1205-AC11

To Whom It May Concern:

We are writing regarding the Department of Labor's (DOL) vague Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM) that would require states to provide sensitive data that their unemployment insurance agencies collect. We request that the DOL withdraw the proposed rule until it can answer some important, basic questions about what data it will request from states, how it will use that data and protect the privacy of the people whose data it collects, and be able to demonstrate the need for such data to achieve the goals named in its proposed rule. The proposed rule, as currently written, could harm workers, threaten data security, and waste federal, state, and taxpayer dollars for unnecessary and even duplicative processes.

When people apply for UI, they expect that their personal data will be kept confidential by the state UI agencies. However, since its inception, the Department of Government Efficiency (DOGE) has repeatedly put critical data at risk in several well-documented incidents. The NPRM says nothing about how it will safeguard personal information, nor does it specify which "additional data" it may seek, or how that relates to program integrity.

Moreover, the Trump administration has made no secret about using all the data it possesses to try to find immigrant workers and potentially detain them for deportation. We have grave concerns that UI data will be shared with Immigration and Customs Enforcement which could then engage in racial profiling to track and terrorize people with foreign sounding last names, no matter their citizenship or authorization to work in the United States.

In addition to the risks of collecting unemployment insurance data, the NPRM also alludes to an attempt to collect wage data. That includes the Social Security numbers, wages, and workplaces of everyone who works at a job covered by Unemployment Insurance. For example, the NPRM cites Executive Order (E.O.) 14243 titled "Stopping Waste, Fraud, and Abuse by Eliminating Information Silos" and quotes it: "the Secretary of Labor and the Secretary's designees shall receive, to the maximum extent consistent with law, *unfettered access to all unemployment data and related payment records*. . ." (emphasis added). Unemployment data includes more than claims. In addition to wage data, agencies routinely collect information that could compromise individuals and businesses. For example, the Short Time Compensation program (which allows employers to reduce hours in lieu of layoffs) requires employers to provide sensitive data about their business operations to demonstrate their need for the program. Under this broad proposed rule, states could be required to share this sensitive data with DOL.

The proposed rule may also require states to engage in additional costly and potentially duplicative processes. However, the text of the proposed rule itself is ambiguous on this point. On the one hand, it notes the need for states to upgrade their information technology systems. Yet, on the other hand, the need for technological upgrades makes little sense if, as the proposed rule alleges, states are simply being asked to continue a service they have already provided for three years. But given the lack of clarity of the rule, combined with this mention of a need to upgrade technology, it may be that the rule is proposing an increase in the scope of required data collection.

The lack of clarity regarding what data will be collected hinders states and other stakeholders' ability to respond to the proposed rule. Without further details about how this requested data is different from what states already produce, stakeholders cannot assess how this rule will interact with existing state laws and processes. Stakeholders also cannot determine what the financial impact of the rule will be on states, nor whether the federal government will help attenuate that impact. Stakeholders must be provided with adequate information to answer these questions before DOL can issue a final rule. Otherwise, in addition to the data risks, the rule would not only circumvent the rulemaking process, but it would potentially undermine the ability of state agencies to provide legally required timely payments, particularly at a time of rising UI claims.

Please withdraw this rule until such time as the DOL can answer basic questions about what this rule would do, what it would require of state agencies, as well as what additional resources may be provided, and can establish a clear cost benefit analysis.

Sincerely,

AFL-CIO

Alianza Nacional de Campesinas, Inc.
American Association of University Women (AAUW)
Arkansas Advocates for Children and Families
Asian American Legal Defense and Education Fund
Asian Americans Advancing Justice-AAJC
Autistic Self Advocacy Network
Borderlands Resource Initiative
California Immigrant Policy Center

Care in Action

Caring Across Generations

Center for Advancement of Public Policy

Center for Democracy & Technology

California Work & Family Coalition

Center for Economic and Policy Research

Center for Law and Social Policy (CLASP)

Centro de los Derechos del Migrante, Inc (CDM)

Chicago Women in Trades

Clearinghouse on Women's Issues

Coalition on Human Needs

Color Of Change

Colorado Fiscal Institute

Connecticut Voices for Children

Consumer Federation of America

Demand Progress Education Fund

Disability Rights Education and Defense Fund (DREDF)

Economic Policy Institute

Electronic Frontier Foundation

Electronic Privacy Information Center (EPIC)

Family Forward Oregon

Family Values at Work

Feminist Majority Foundation

Freedom for Immigrants

Georgia Budget and Policy Institute

Greater Birmingham Ministries

Greater Boston Legal Services

Gullah Geechee Chamber of Commerce

Hispanic Federation

Immigration Research Initiative

Inland Empire Labor Council, AFL-CIO

Instituto de Educacion Popular del Sur de California (IDEPSCA)

Interfaith Center on Corporate Responsibility

Invest in Louisiana

Jobs with Justice

Justice in Motion

Just Leadership USA

Katz Banks Kumin LLP

Keystone Research Center

La Plaza

Legal Aid Justice Center

Legal Momentum, The Women's Legal Defense and Education Fund

Long Beach Alliance for Clean Energy

Los Angeles Alliance for a New Economy

Maine Equal Justice

MANA, A National Latina Organization

Marketing Partners Inc

Martha Burk, Ph.D., President, Center for Advancement of Public Policy

Marylanders for Food and Farmworker Protection Coalition

Mass COSH

Michigan Immigrant Rights Center

Moms Rising

Movement Advancement Project

National Action Network

National Asian Pacific American Women's Forum

National Association of Social Workers

National Black Worker Center

National Center for Law and Economic Justice

National Employment Law Project

National Employment Lawyers Association

National Hispanic Media Coalition

National Immigration Law Center

National Institute for Workers' Rights

National Organization for Women

National Partnership for Women & Families

National Workrights Institute

NETWORK Lobby for Catholic Social Justice

New Hampshire Legal Assistance

New Haven Legal Assistance Association

New Jersey Citizen Action

New York Legal Assistance Group

Next 100 Coalition

North Alabama Area Labor Council

North Carolina Justice Center

Northwest Workers' Justice Project

Oxfam America

Pennsylvania Farmworker Project

People Power United

Popular Democracy in Action

Public Assets Institute

Public Justice Center

Rideshare Drivers United

Rocky Mountain Equality

San Francisco Labor Council

Secure Growth Initiative

SEIU Local 105

Shriver Center on Poverty Law

South Carolina Small Business Chamber of Commerce

Sugar Law Center for Economic & Social Justice

Sur Legal Collaborative

Tech Equity Action

TechTonic Justice

The Advocates for Human Rights

The Century Foundation

The Leadership Conference on Civil and Human Rights

The Legal Aid Society

The Restaurant Opportunities Center of Pennsylvania (ROC PA)

UDW/AFSCME Local 3930

Unemployment Law Project

UnidosUS

United for Respect

Victor Forberger, Esq.

Warehouse Worker Resource Center

William E. Morris Institute for Justice Women Employed Worker Justice Center of New York Workers Defense Action Fund Workers' Injury Law & Advocacy Group Working Partnerships Worksafe