Dear Director Kraninger:

The undersigned consumer, civil rights, community, housing and privacy organizations are grateful for the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau’s (CFPB) September 2019 decision to maintain public access to the Bureau’s Consumer Complaint database and to continue to publish the complaint narratives—the core of the complaint.

However, we are compelled to urge you to reconsider your decision to bury the narratives, making it much harder for non-experts to find this essential element.

After much consideration, analysis and internal debate in 2015, the Consumer Bureau decided to add the narrative field to the information it publishes in its public complaint database, with each consumer’s permission. The complaint narratives give consumers a window into what the actual unresolved problems are between a consumer and a financial firm. The narrative often expresses the crux of the ongoing dispute and can help both the Bureau and individuals begin to detect patterns of unfair, deceptive, even abusive behavior.

Access to the complaint narratives helps to educate and empower consumers to make wise financial decisions and meets the Bureau’s mandate to inform and protect consumers. The complaint details description of “what happened” can help to prevent future problems by warning individuals in advance of others’ unresolved disputes with companies that the Bureau is responsible for overseeing. Public access to this critical information also helps to hold companies accountable for their behavior in the financial marketplace.

We are well aware of some industry representatives’ vehement opposition to the public’s access to this valuable information. To that end, the Bureau has accommodated their concerns by adding disclaimers and prioritizing information that warns consumers that the complaints listed “are not necessarily representative of all consumers experiences” and are not contextualized.

---

3 https://www.consumerfinance.gov/data-research/consumer-complaints/
While the Bureau has generally improved the ease of use of the database and has added helpful features (e.g., Trends view), in an effort to appease business fears, several changes are very concerning.

First, the home page no longer includes a “Browse public complaints about companies” direct link to the database (Attachment, Figure 2).

No longer can a consumer find a link or the “Read consumer narratives” box that was previously available (Attachment, Figure 3) to access this important information.

Instead these critical complaint details are hidden from view. They are no longer mentioned as a source of information in website copy. In the rare instance where complaint narratives are noted, they are raised without adequate explanation and only to devalue them. Further, some of us have found that Bureau staffers no longer explain the narrative field when the complaint database is discussed.

Consumers new to this tool would never know they could access this crucial information. Without a direct link on the home page, individuals seeking complaint data would first have to locate the database under the home page’s Data and Research tab. They would then have to click on the View complaint data box.

Then consumers would have to somehow glean that they could uncover complaint narratives by selecting “List view” (the default is “Map view”). Only in “List view” can consumers locate actual complaints. Finally, they would have to scroll down the left menu to nearly the end of all filter options and click on “Only show complaints with narratives”—but this assumes that all users understood that “narratives” contain the essential “what happened” details of the complaint.4

The complaint database search page, as it appeared as recently as January 6, 2020,5 offered the “Only show complaints with narratives” option as the very top filter selector (Attachment, Figure 1.) This was a far superior way to inform consumers about the narrative field.

The decision to bury complaint narratives was a clever attempt at balancing financial and consumer interests but this effort has bent too far in one direction, weakening the value of this complaint tool and making it far less meaningful for those who you have committed to serve—the consumer.

4 https://www.consumerfinance.gov/data-research/consumer-complaints/search/?chartType=line&dataNormalization=None&dateInterval=Month&dateRange=3y&date_received_max=2020-07-30&date_received_min=2017-07-30&from=0&has_narrative=true&lens=Overview&page=1&searchField=all&size=25&sort=created_date_desc&tab=Map&trendDepth=5
We urge the Bureau to reinstate the “Read consumer narratives” button to the database landing page to vastly improve transparency (Attachment, Figure 3). We recommend that the button automatically link consumers to the “List” tab, which would auto-select a reordered, prominent “Only show complaints with narratives” filter.

Thank you for considering our serious concern over these hidden narratives and the simple solution we propose.

Sincerely,
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