# Summary of FTC Internet Payday Lender Cases CFA DRAFT December 1, 2010

Case Name: In the Matter of We Give Loans, Inc.

FTC File No. 072 3205

http://www.ftc.gov/os/caselist/0723205/index.shtm

**Date:** 6/24/08; 9/5/08

## **Lender URL:**

http://www.wegiveloans.com http://www.1200Today.com

### **Lender Address:**

2300 Lincoln Avenue, Apt 201 Cloquet, MN 55720

## **Type of Action/Laws Violated:**

Truth in Lending Act Regulation Z

Respondent stated loan costs on their website but failed to disclose the APR.

Transaction: n/a

## **Outcome:**

6/24/08

The Respondent agreed to settle with the FTC.

9/5/08

A Final Consent Order was issued.

Case Name: In the Matter of Aliyah Associates, LLC, doing business as American Advance

FTC File No. 072 3206

http://www.ftc.gov/os/caselist/0723206/index.shtm

**Date:** 6/24/08; 9/5/08

**Lender URL:** 

http://www.americanadvance.com

## **Lender Address:**

525 E. Camelback, Suite 210, Scottsdale, AZ 85251

## **Type of Action/Laws Violated:**

Truth in Lending Act Regulation Z

Failure to disclose APR.

**Transaction:** n/a

### **Outcome:**

6/24/08

Settled with FTC

9/5/08

Final Consent Order issued.

Case Name: Federal Trade Commission and the State of Nevada v. Cash Today, Ltd.

**Date:** 11/12/08; 2/23/09; 9/21/09

## **Lender URL:**

www.cash2day4u.com

### **Lender Address:**

Cash Today, Ltd Northway House, 1379 High Road, Whetstone, London N20 9LP

The Heathmill Village, Ltd. Northway House, 1379 High Road, Whetstone, London N20 9LP

Leads Global, Inc., 2050 Russett Way, Carson City, NV, 89703

Waterfront Investments, Inc 2533 Carson Street, Carson City, NV, 89706

ACH Cash, Inc., HBS Services, Inc., and Rovinge International,Inc. 2533 Carson Street, Carson City, NV, 89706, and 1005 Terminal Way, Suite 110, Reno, Nevada, 89502

Lotus Leads, Inc., and First4Leads, Inc., 3500 South Dupont Highway, Dover, DE, 19901

## Type of Action/Laws Violated:

### FTC Act

Unfair and deceptive collection tactics:

- falsely threatening consumers with arrest or imprisonment,
- falsely claiming that consumers are legally obligated to pay the debts;
- making false threats to take legal action that they cannot take;
- and repeatedly calling consumers at work and
- using abusive and profane language and
- disclosing consumers' purported debts to coworkers, employers, and other third parties.

## Truth in Lending Act and Regulation Z

- failing to make required written disclosures, clearly and conspicuously, before consummating a consumer credit transaction, including the
  - amount financed, itemization of the amount financed,
  - the finance charge,
  - the APR,
  - the payment schedule,
  - total number of payments,
  - late payment fees.

### Nevada's Deceptive Trade Act

- not disclosing loan terms
- making false representations in collecting debts
- selling loans to consumers without licenses.

#### **Transaction:**

Loans had to be repaid by the next payday with a \$35 - \$80 fee, or the loans would be extended automatically for an extra fee debited from consumers' bank accounts until the loans were repaid.

Some consumers were told that they were required to call defendants a day or so before their payday to request that proposed defendants debit the full loan amount from their bank accounts.

Consumers who asked for written disclosure of the loan terms were told that the transaction was verbal only and was being recorded. Some consumers were told that written disclosures and loan terms would be sent, but they were never received.

When consumers challenged abusive collection practices as illegal, they were told they do not have to comply with US laws because the lender is located in the UK.

#### **Outcome:**

### 2/23/09

Defendants Leads Global, Inc., Waterfront Investments, Inc., ACH Cash, Inc., HBS Services, Inc., Lotus Leads, Inc., First4Leads, Inc., and Rovinge International, Inc agreed to order to halt violations. Order also has provision to keep accurate and complete business records and report information to Plaintiffs.

Defendant Jim Harris agreed to a separate order to halt violations. Order also has provision to keep accurate and complete business records and report information to Plaintiffs.

### 9/21/09

Settlement Order for Defendants Cash Today, Ltd., and The Heathmill Village, Ltd. (both registered in the United Kingdom); The Harris Holdings, Ltd. (registered in Guernsey, an island between England and France); Leads Global, Inc., Waterfront Investments, Inc., ACH Cash, Inc., HBS Services, Inc., Rovinge International, Inc.; and Lotus Leads, Inc. and First4Leads, Inc. (both now dissolved); each also doing business as Cash Today, Route 66 Funding, Global Financial Services International, Ltd., Interim Cash, Ltd., and Big-Int, Ltd. & settling individual defendants Aaron Gershfield and Ivor Gershfield.

\$970,125 fine to be paid to the FTC

\$29,875 fine to be paid to the State of Nevada

Order prohibits future violations of FTC rules and state law. Also includes a provision for employee monitoring of Defendants' actions, FTC compliance monitoring and reporting, and record keeping. Also, Defendants are to reliquish control of funds paid to the fullest extend permitted by law.

Misc: Non-U.S. Defendants did not contest jurisdiction for the purpose of this case, but may have left open the possibility of jurisdiction arguments in future actions.

Defendant Jim Harris dismissed from case due to separate voluntary agreement with the FTC.

**Case Name:** FTC vs. LOANPOINTE, LLC, EASTBROOK, LLC, also d/b/a Ecash and GeteCash et. al.

**Date:** 4/7/10

**Lender URL:** www.getecash.com

### **Lender Address:**

LoanPointe, LLC 11529 North Bull River Circle, Highland, Utah

Eastbrook, LLC 696 North 1890 West, Provo, Utah

### **Type of Action/Laws Violated:**

FTC Act - Section 5

**FDCPA** 

Credit Practices Rule

### **Transaction:**

Online applicants were required to check a box in the application form that allowed the lenders to garnish borrowers' wagers in order to cover delinquent loan payments. This was an attempt to circument federal rules for wage garnishment. Additionally, the wage assignment clause in the application was in very small print and located near the bottom of the third of four pages of small print disclosures. Because of this, many borrowers' were likely unaware of the clause.

Also, lenders sent wage garnishment forms and supporting documentation that closely resembled documentation that U.S. government agencies use when seeking to garnish wages for nontax debts owed to the U.S. In these materials, lenders falsely represented to employers that they could garnish wages from borrowers without first obtaining a court order.

### **Outcome:**

4/7/10:

Preliminary injunction barring lenders from further violations

### 9/2/10:

Settlement Order for Defendant Mark S. Lofgren

- banned from collecting debts through wage assignment.
- permanently prohibited from:
  - misrepresenting facts in order to collect a debt;
  - contacting a consumer's employer in trying to collect a debt, unless he is seeking location information or has a valid court order of garnishment; and
  - disclosing a debt to any third party.
- barred from violating the Credit Practices Rule and the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act,
- selling or otherwise benefitting from customers' personal or financial information, and
- failing to properly dispose of customer information.

The order also imposes a \$38,133 judgment.

Benjamin J. Lonsdale and James C. Endicott were dismissed by the FTC.

Litigation continues against Joe S. Strom, LoanPointe, LLC, and Eastbrook, LLC, also doing business as Ecash and GeteCash.

Case Name: FTC v. VirtualWorks LLC et. al

**Date:** 8/19/09

Lender URL: n/a

### **Lender Address:**

655 Mystic Way, Laguna Beach, CA 92651

## **Type of Action/Laws Violated:**

FTC Act - Section 5

### **Transaction:**

Defendants falsely represented to consumers that they were only applying for a loan when they were also buying a prepaid debit card. During the online application, when applicants clicked a button that said "Finish matching me with a payday loan provider", they were automatically signed up to purchase

a prepaid debit card. Consumers were charged a card enrollment fee of \$39.95 to \$54.95 for the card. In some instances, consumers were led to believe they were receiving a free "BONUS" card while being charged a \$39.95-54.95 fee that was debited from their bank accounts.

Note: during the transactions described in this case, VirtualWorks was acting in conjunction with Swish Marketing.

### **Outcome:**

8/19/09:

Settlement with FTC. Settlement bars Defendant from future violations and includes a \$52,000 fine. The fine is in lieu of a suspended judgment for \$5,450,367. The agreement also includes provisions for monitoring by defendantss of their marketing affiliates or other third parties, compliance monitoring and reporting, and a record keeping provision.

Case Name: FTC v. Swish Marketing

**Date:** 9/19/09

#### **Lender URL:**

ChristianFaithFinancial.com, MagnoliaFinancial.org, ThatcherPrescott.com, PaydayUSA.org, SouthernFinancialFunding.com, MtWhitneyFinancial.com, PaydayMatchup.com, AdditionalEarning.com, PrescottFinancial.com, MaximumWagesNow.com, OnlinePayday.org, OrchidFinancial.org, MalibuFinancial.com, SilentCashLending.com, InstantPaydayMatchup.com, MyFamilyLoans.org, WomensPaychecks.com, CompareAdvances.com, AtlasPeakFinancial.com, ShortTermLoanExpert.com, CheckCashCentrale.com, MtVernonFinancial.com, PaydayLoanQuotes.com, RockOfMaine.com, UpTo500.com, and HarborCreditCashAdvance.com

### **Lender Address:**

555 Bryant Street, No. 349 Palo Alto, CA 94301

### Type of Action/Laws Violated:

FTC Act - Section 5

#### **Transaction:**

During the online application, when applicants clicked a button that said "Finish matching me with a payday loan provider", they were automatically signed up to purchase a prepaid debit card. Consumers were charged a card enrollment fee of \$39.95 to \$54.95 for the card. In some instances, consumers were led to believe they were receiving a free "BONUS" card while being charged a \$39.95-54.95 fee that was debited from their bank accounts.

Note: during the transactions described in this case, Swish Marketing was acting in conjunction with VirtualWorks.

#### **Outcome:**

4/20/09

Complaint amended to include exhibits that show websites with payday loan application forms.

Added allegations that the defendants sold consumers' bank account information to the debit card company without the consumers' consent and that defendants were made aware of consumer complaints about the unauthorized debits.

10/4/09

Settlement with FTC.

\$850,000 fine

Defendants barred from further violations.

Also requires that:

- transactions be affirmatively authorized by consumers
- monitoring of affiliates to ensure compliance
- cooperation to the FTC in its ongoing litigation.

Case Name: In the Matter of CashPro d/b/a/ MakePaydayToday.com

**Date:** 2/27/08; 6/6/08

### **Lender URL:**

www.MakePaydayToday.com

### **Lender Address:**

4306 S. Carson St., Carson City, NV 89701

## **Type of Action/Laws Violated:**

TILA

Regulation Z

### **Transaction:**

Lender made payday loans without disclosing the APR. Ads stated that the max number of days for a loan was 14 days, but extensions could be done for up to 60 days. On a \$100 loan with a \$19.95 fee

repayable in 14 days, the APR would be 520%.

#### **Outcome:**

2/27/08

Lender entered into consent order with FTC barring further violations

6/6/08

Final consent order issued.

Case Name: In the Matter of American Cash Market, Inc.

**Date: 2/27/08** 

### **Lender URL:**

www.americancashmarket.com

#### **Lender Address:**

2207 S. Sepulveda Blvd., Los Angeles, CA 90064

## **Type of Action/Laws Violated:**

Regulation Z TILA

### **Transaction:**

Failed to disclose APR.

Lender advertised payday loans between \$50 and \$255. Fees for a \$50 loan is \$8.82, the fee for a \$100 loan is \$17.65, the fee for a \$150 loan is \$26.47, the fee for a \$200 loan is \$35.29, and the fee for a \$255 loan is \$45. Loans repaid in a typical pay period of 14 days, the APR would be 460%.

### **Outcome:**

2/27/08

Lender entered into consent order with FTC barring further violations

6/6/08

Final consent order issued.

Case Name: In the Matter of Anderson Payday Loans

**Date: 2/27/08** 

**Lender URL:** 

www.anderson-payday-loans.com

**Lender Address:** 

8971 Hewitt Place, Suite 1, Garden Grove, CA 92844

## Type of Action/Laws Violated:

Regulation Z TILA

### **Transaction:**

Lender's website said: "Payday loans are \$20 to \$30 per hundred dollars borrowed until your payday, depending on individual circumstances and locale." On a \$100 loan with a \$20 fee repayable in a typical pay period of 14 days, the APR would be 521%. On a \$100 loan with a \$30 fee repayable in a typical pay period of 14 days, the APR would be 782%.

### **Outcome:**

2/27/08

Lender entered into consent order with FTC barring further violations

6/6/08

Final consent order issued.